

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,686	12/27/2006	Jin Ho Choy	1751-405	4723	
	7590 11/10/200 FIGG, ERNST & MAI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1425 K STREE				BECCA Y	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	- ,	1793	1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2009	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/595,686	CHOY ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	REBECCA LEE	1793		

		REBECCA LEE	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 14 Octo	ber 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant r application in condition	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must fimely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or 3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply b) The period for reply The period for reply		dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If bo	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obta have been filed is the date for p under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcula set forth in (b) above, if checked	ined under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date urposes of determining the period of ex ited from: (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal of filing the Notice of Appeal	eal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be to nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37 (e)	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
		but prior to the date of filing a brief,		cause				
		nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);					
(c) They are not de	sue of new matter (see NOTE belo emed to place the application in bet	rw); tter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or	ditional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	octed claims					
			oted ciairris.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
	overcome the following rejection(s)		.,,					
	nended claim(s) would be all	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appear 		will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will w	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
	n(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	_							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.	4-7.10 and 13.							
Claim(s) withdrawn fro	m consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EV								
because applicant faile		t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi						
entered because the a	ffidavit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the evercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other REQUEST FOR RECONSIL 		n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for recor See Continuation Sh		t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
		(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>09/16/09</u>	1					
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Exar	niner, Art Unit 1793							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended features change the scope of the finally rejected claims, and requires further search and consideration

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the amended feature of claims 1-2 change the scope of finally rejected claims which requires further search and consideration.

Applicant also argues the X-ray data of Tian, Boyle and Ren appear to be different compared to the instant invention. However, nonobviousness can not be shown by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In the instant case, the rejection is based on the combination of Tian, Boyle and Ren, thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the X-ray data of Tian in view of Boyle and Ren would have a similar pattern as instant invention. Thus, applicant's argint is not convincing the control of the pattern as instant invention.